

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 4160 OF 2018

Parshuram Vasu Rane and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Adv Asit Singh for the Petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Thakre, P.P. a/w Smt. S.D.Shinde, APP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 05, 2018

P.C.:

Petitioners are present with their advocate Shri Asit Sing. Original complainant respondent no.2 is also present. Respondent nos.3 and 4 who are alleged to be co-accused with the petitioners are not present.

2. Petitioners and respondent no.2 jointly state that they have compromised the dispute. Respondent no.2 as also petitioner no. 1 have been identified by advocate Singh. Petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 state that they are relatives.

3. Respondent no. 2 submits that he has received the entire

amount and he has purchased a room at Thane through it. He has also tendered affidavit accepting that he has received back the amount and showing his inclination not to prosecute the petitioner and respondent nos.3 and 4.

4. In view of this affidavit, statements made by the complainant and respondent no. 2, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Writ petition stands disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.) (B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)