

Sequeira

*IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION*

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION No. 4155 OF 2018

Mr.Sushantkumar S. Yadav

... Petitioner

Vs

State of Maharashtra & another

... Respondents

Mr.M.V.Thorat, for the Petitioner.

Ms.S.D.Shinde - APP, for Respondent-State.

Mr.Doughlas Lopes, Respondent No.2-present.

***CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.***

Date : 7 December, 2018.

P.C. :

Petitioner is present with his Advocate. Respondent No.2, Complainant who happens to be a practising Advocate is present in person.

2. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Taken up for final disposal.

3. It is not in dispute that Petitioner and Respondent No.2 are both Advocates and accidentally met, which led to filing of FIR No.88 of 2018 under Section 279, 336, 427 of the IPC read with

Section 184 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

4. Respective parties jointly state that after accidentally meeting they have become friends and therefore, have decided to resolve the dispute amicably. Accordingly, Complainant has also tendered Affidavit stating that the further prosecution of FIR, is not necessary and dispute is amicably settled. Accepting the joint request we quash and set aside above mentioned FIR No.88 of 2018 dated 4 July 2018 and made Rule absolute accordingly.

(Sarang V. Kotwal, J.)

(B.P.Dharmadhikari, J.)