

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.730 OF 2015

Milind Hemraj Hingonekar ... **Applicant**
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... **Respondents**

• • • • •

None for the Applicant.

Mr.P.H.Gaikwad-Patil, APP for the Respondent/State.

None for the Respondent No.2.

• • • •

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 4th OCTOBER 2018.

P.C. :

1 This an application for condonation of delay in
preferring an application for leave to appeal by which the
applicant seeks to challenge the Judgment and Order of acquittal
of the respondent No.2 of the offence punishable under Section
138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

2 None for the parties except the learned Additional
2 Public Prosecutor for the respondent/State when the application is

called out for hearing. Record shows that the learned Counsel for the respondent No.2 has reported that the respondent No.2 has not imparted instructions to him.

3 Perused the application which is on affidavit. Averments therein are not controverted by the contesting respondents. Reasons stated in the application depict sufficient cause. Hence, the Order :

ORDER

(i) The delay in preferring an application for leave to appeal is condoned.

(ii) The application is disposed of accordingly.

(A.M.BADAR J.)

Raju
Dattatraya
Gaikwad

Digitally signed by
Raju Dattatraya
Gaikwad
Date: 2018.10.06
16:09:44 +0530