

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.11092 OF 2017

Shri. Bhimsen Lamuvél Sanadi ..Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others ..Respondents

Mr. Satyajeet A. Rajeshirke, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 – State.

**ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO.12746 OF 2017**

Shri. Dattatraya Appaso Patil ..Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others ..Respondents

**ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO.12759 OF 2017**

Shri. Koli Sachin Bajarang ..Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others ..Respondents

**ALONGWITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11591 OF 2018**

Mrs. Sharmila Shivajirao Patil ..Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others ..Respondents

Mr. Satyajeet A. Rajeshirke, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Ms. Kavita N. Solunke, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 – State.

**CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &
M. S. KARNIK, JJ.
DATE : 19th OCTOBER, 2018**

ORAL JUDGMENT (B. R. Gavai, J)

1] Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard by consent of parties.

2] The limited relief claimed in the above Petitions is for a direction to the Respondent – Education Officer to decide the proposal for grant of approval to the appointment of the Petitioners.

3] The Respondent – Education Officer is directed to decide the proposal for grant of approval with regard to the appointment of the Petitioners as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of four weeks from today in the light of the judgment dated 10th July 2017 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.8587 of 2016 with connected Writ Petitions.

4] Rule is accordingly made absolute in the aforesaid terms.

[M. S. KARNIK, J.]

[B. R. GAVAI, J.]