

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3857 OF 2017

Kunal Ashok Kalra and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Adv Shubhada Khot for the petitioner.

Adv Chirag Chanani i/by Dewani Associates for R. No. 2.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 17, 2018

P.C.:

Learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent no. 2 has jointly requested for quashing. They point out that in Petition No. A/1503 of 2017 filed before the Family Court No.3 Nagpur in the proceedings under section 13(1) of the Hindu Marriage Act, consent terms have already been recorded and accordingly the relationship has come to an end on 10/10/2018. As per the term (vi) the criminal proceedings are also to be withdrawn.

2. Counsel for respondent no. 2/complainant has tendered the separate consent affidavit accepting the position.

3. In view of these developments and joint request being made,

we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) and dispose of the petition.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)