

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 9925 OF 2018

Satveer B. Yadav and anr. ... Petitioners.
V/s.
The Additional Commissioner
Konkan Division, Mumbai and ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. Sachin Dhakephalkar for the Petitioners.
Mr. Y.S. Khochare, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 7.
Mr. Sushil Shukla for Respondent No.8.
Mr. U.B. Nighot I/b Mr. Pradeep Patole for Respondent Nos.9 to 23.

CORAM : A.S. OKA AND M.S. SONAK, JJ.
DATE : 3rd SEPTEMBER 2018.

P.C.:

- 1] Not on board. Taken on board.
- 2] Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, the learned AGP for the respondent Nos.1 to 7, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.8 and the learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.9 to 23.
- 3] Against the order dated 5th July 2018, a revision application has been filed by the petitioners before the State Government. The application for stay filed in the revision application is pending.
- 4] In view of pendency of the stay application, we need not to keep the petition pending and the same is disposed of by passing the following

- a] We direct the revisional authority to decide the stay application made by the petitioners, as expeditiously as possible and in any event within a period of four weeks from today;
- b] For a period of six weeks from today, the limited protection granted to the petitioners by the learned Single Judge by order dated 1st March 2018 in Writ Petition (St) No. 6051 of 2018 will continue to operate;
- c] The revisional authority shall decide the prayer for interim relief on its own merits;
- d] All contentions on merits are kept open.
- e] The petition is disposed of on the above terms.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)