

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.3874 OF 2018**

Kharmeen Ginwalla ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Adv. Anandini Fernandes for the Petitioner.

Mr. K.V. Saste, APP for respondent State.

Adv Urvi Hemant Dave for R. No.2.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &  
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

**DATE : DECEMBER 17, 2018**

**P.C.:**

Petitioner is present with her advocate. Respondent no.2 complainant is also present with her advocate,. APP appears for respondent no. 1.

2. The complainant and respondent no. 2 jointly request for quashing of the FIR. The FIR is under the provisions of section 279, 337 of the Indian Penal Code and 134 A and B of the Motor Vehicles Act. The same has arisen out of an accident. Respondent no.2 has tendered affidavit bringing on record the terms and conditions of the settlement. She accepts that she has received the

entire amount as mentioned therein. There is no public angle attached to the matter. Hence, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) and (e) and dispose of the petition.

**(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)**

**(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)**