

DDR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 10134 OF 2018

Ramesh Baburao Hadke .. Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & ors. .. Respondents

.....
Mr. Tushar L. Pimple for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. More for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

Ms. Kavita Solunke, AGP for respondent No.1.
.....

**CORAM : B.R.GAVAI &
M.S. KARNIK, JJ.**

DATE : 7th SEPTEMBER , 2018

P.C. :

Shri More, learned Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 makes a categorical statement that an alternate accommodation is already provided to the petitioner by the Developer and that petitioner is being shifted to the said alternate premises.

2. Since the interest of the petitioner is duly protected, we do not find any necessity to proceed with the matter. The

petition is disposed of by taking the statement of learned Counsel Shri More on record.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(B.R.GAVAI, J.)