

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 585 OF 2016

Sanjay Ganesh Puranik	... Applicant
Vs.	
Mohan Govind Bhagwat & Anr.	... Respondents

WITH
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 586 OF 2016

Sanjay Ganesh Puranik	... Applicant
Vs.	
Deepak Dagadusha Kshatriya & Anr.	... Respondents

WITH
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 587 OF 2016

Sanjay Ganesh Puranik	... Applicant
Vs.	
Smt. Kailashidevi B. Mehta & Anr.	... Respondents

Mr. S.S. Patwardhan, Advocate for the applicant.

CORAM: **MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.**
DATE: **10th August, 2018.**

P.C.:

In these Civil Revision Applications, the order dated 1st July, 2016 passed by the learned 7th Joint Civil Judge, Senior Division, Thane in respective Regular Civil Suits are challenged.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the issue was decided under section 9A of the Code of Civil Procedure. The

learned counsel submitted that as this is the preliminary issue, the provisions of Section 9A is deleted, thus, Civil Revision Applications abate. He prays that the challenge to the jurisdiction is to be kept open.

3. In view of the Maharashtra Ordinance No. XVIII of 2018 dated 27th June, 2018, saving clause 3(2) and proviso thereto, these Civil Revision Applications abate and are disposed of as abated.

4. As per the Saving Clauses 1 and 2 and as per the proviso mentioned therein, if evidence is recorded, that is saved and the issue of jurisdiction can be taken up at the time of Appeal. Further pursuant to the Ordinance, if there is no enactment, then liberty granted to recall this order.

5. Civil Revision Applications are disposed of as such.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)

Vidya
Suresh
Amin

Digitally
signed by
Vidya Suresh
Amin
Date:
2018.08.14
12:04:05
+0530