

R.M. AMBERKAR
(Private Secretary)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (ST) NO. 24245 OF 2018

Digitally signed
by Ravindra
Mohan
Amberkar
Date:
2018.09.28
11:31:42
+0530

Ravindra
Mohan
Amberkar

Satish Vyankojirao Patil

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

-
- Mr. Abhijeet Kulkarni I/by DD & Abhijeet Associates for the Petitioner
 - Mrs. Rupali Shinde, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2
-

CORAM : R.M. SAVANT &
M.S. KARNIK, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 26, 2018.

P.C.:

1. The only relief sought in the above petition is a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the proposal of the petitioner in accordance with law. In seeking the said relief, the petitioner is relying upon the order dated 2.7.2018 passed by the Division Bench of this Court sitting at Nagpur in Writ Petition No. 7093 of 2016 involving the identical relief as sought in the instant petition. The Division Bench at Nagpur had issued directions which are comprised in the last two paragraphs of the said order dated 2.7.2018. The said

paragraphs are reproduced hereunder for ready reference:

“ In the light of subsequent developments, W.P. No. 4845/2013 with 9 other connected matters were partly allowed by this Court at Nagpur on 10.12.2013 and the office of respondent No. 2 / Director of Marketing was asked to reconsider the issue of approval. In W.P. No. 4926/2013 identical orders have been passed on 15/06/2017 at Nagpur.

Hence, adopting very same course, we quash and set aside the communication dated 03/05/2016 and restore the proposal of petitioner back to the file of respondent No. 2 / Director of Marketing. Respondent No. 2 / Director of Marketing shall take necessary decision as per law within next 3 months. If necessary opportunity of hearing shall be extended to petitioner / representative.”

2. Learned AGP would seek to draw our attention to the G.R. dated 21.4.2017 as also the communication dated 26.9.2018 replied by her from the Joint Director (Marketing), Maharashtra State. We have perused the said G.R. as also the communication addressed to the learned AGP. We do not find anything in the said document which would impinge upon the efficacy of the order dated 2.7.2018 passed by the Division Bench sitting at Nagpur. We, therefore, deem it appropriate to follow the same directions as issued by the Division

Bench sitting at Nagpur which, for the sake of ready reference, we have already reproduced in the earlier part of this order. We, therefore, dispose of the above petition by directing respondent No. 2 – Director of Marketing to take a decision in accordance with law on or before 30.11.2018.

3. With the directions as above the Writ Petition is disposed of.

[M.S. KARNIK, J.]

[R.M. SAVANT, J.]