

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.3645 OF 2018

Pravin Shaligram More & Ors.

.... Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....

- Mr.Sachin Gite, Advocate for Petitioners.
- Ms.Swati M. Jadhav, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
- Mrs.S.D. Shinde, APP for Respondent/State.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 21st NOVEMBER, 2018.

P.C. :

1. Petitioners, who are accused in FIR No.I-93/18 are before this Court for its quashment. Learned APP has appeared for Respondent No.1.
2. Respondent No.2 complainant is present with her Counsel. Respondent No.2 has tendered affidavit and given no objection.

3. From joint submissions it appears that parties have amicably settled the controversy and proceedings for grant of Decree of Divorce by mutual consent are also filed.
4. This is the dispute u/s 498-A, 406 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code, which is purely family dispute with no public angle.
5. Hence accepting the request made, we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)