

Dond

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2794 OF 2005

Shri Nitin Manmohan

..Petitioner

Vs

State of Maharashtra & Anr.

..Respondents

Mr. Amey Patil a/w Jash Vyas I/b M/s Vivek Kantawala & Co. for Petitioner.

Mr. Amit Palkar APP for State.

Mr. P. Ranjan I/b Halai & Co for respondent No.2.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 26th September 2018.

P.C.:

1] The learned Counsel appearing for the respondent No.2 submitted that, during the pendency of the present petition, the parties herein have amicably settled the matter and have filed the consent terms before the Civil Court in that behalf. He submitted that, in view of the said consent terms, the present petition does not survive. The learned counsel for the petitioner condoned to the same.

2] In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent No.2, proceedings i.e. CC No.26/SS/2005 pending on the file of the Metropolitan Magistrate, 22nd Court, Andheri, Mumbai is hereby quashed and set aside.

3] Writ Petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (b).

4] Rule is made absolute in the aforesaid terms.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)