

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1629 of 2018

Nandabai B.Chopade

...Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent.

Mr. P.M. Jadhav for the Applicant.

Mr. N.B.Patil, APP for the Respondent/State.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 21st November, 2018

PC :

1. By an Order dated 16.8.2018 the applicant was granted interim relief.

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned APP. Perused the record.

3. It is an admitted fact on record that, on the date and time of the incident in question the applicant who is the mother-in-law of the first informant was residing at Village Chakore Taluka Malshiras which is about

15-Kms. away from the place of incident. Prima facie, it appears that the applicant has no direct role to play in the alleged crime under Section 307, 506 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The allegations as against the applicant as contemplated under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code are general in nature and vague allegations.

In view of the principles laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar and anr. reported in (2014) 8 SCC 273, the applicant deserves to be protected by pre-arrest bail.

4. The interim relief granted by Order dated 16.8.2018 is hereby confirmed. However, the condition to report to the concerned police station is waived.

Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)