

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.227 OF 2013

Vanshakti & Anr.] ... Petitioners

Versus

The Chairman, Mumbai Metropolitan]
Region Development Authority & Ors.] ... Respondents

Mr. Zaman Ali for Petitioners.

Ms. Kiran Bagadia i/b Mrs. Chitra Phadke for Respondent No.1.

Mr. N. R. Bubna for Respondent No.3.

Ms. Reena Salunke, AGP for Respondent Nos.4(i) to 4(iii).

Mr. D. A. Dube a/w Mr. Upendra Lokegaonkar for Respondent No.5.

CORAM :- SHANTANU KEMKAR &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE :- AUGUST 23, 2018

P. C. :-

On instructions, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1 submits that as per the letter dated 01/12/2016, the Respondent No.1 has decided not to go ahead with the proposed widening of the road in question. It is stated that now the said portion of the road has been handed over for maintenance and repairs to the State P.W.D.

2. In view of the aforesaid stand being taken by the Respondent No.1 that the proposed road widening is not to be carried out, we are of the view that nothing survives in this PIL. As a result, the PIL is disposed of.

3. In case in future the proposed road is decided to be widened, it will be open for the Petitioners to challenge the same and all contentions to that effect are kept open.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)