

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.954 OF 2018

Vikas Kaluram Darekar

.... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....

- Mr.Pankaj D. Kavale, Advocate for Applicant.
- Mr.S.B. Ramkar, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
- Mr.Deepak Thakre, PP a/w Mrs.S.D. Shinde, APP for Respondent/State.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 10th DECEMBER, 2018.

P.C. :

1. Heard respective Counsel. Perused the notice tendered by complainant.
2. The alleged offences are u/s 376, 328, 504 and 506 of Indian Penal Code.
3. The affidavit is a vague and mentions occurring of 'certain incidents'.

4. We have perused the papers. We are not inclined to intervene in extra-ordinary jurisdiction. Petition is therefore rejected.

5. Needless to mention that our observations are only for the purpose of recording our satisfaction in terms of section 482 of Cr.P.C. and shall not affect the rival contentions on merit.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)