

dik

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 138 OF 2010
WITH
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 139 OF 2010
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 274 OF 2010
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 139 OF 2010

Vikas Manohar Bagle ...Appellant
vs
Vaishali Vikas Bagle ...Respondent.

.....
Mr S.A.Sawant for the Appellant in both appeals.
Mr Anand J. Shinde for the Respondent in both appeals.
.....

Dhanappa
Irappa
Koshti
Digitally
signed by
Dhanappa
Irappa Koshti
Date:
2018.08.01
11:03:58
+0530

**CORAM : K.K.TATED, &
B.P.COLABAWALLA, JJ.
JULY 30, 2018.**

P.C. :

Both the parties have entered into consent terms settling the disputes between them. It is recorded in the consent terms that by virtue of settlement arrived at between the parties, they are seeking decree by consent under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. The consent terms also provide for other terms and conditions which *inter alia* oblige the husband to pay Rs.15,00,000/- to the wife as lump-sum permanent alimony as more particularly set out in clause 6 of

the consent terms. The consent terms have been signed by the appellant and the respondent as well as their respective advocates. The appellant as well as the respondent have entered into witness box and admit the contents of the consent terms as well as the execution thereof. The consent terms are taken on record and marked "X" for identification. The same is accepted. The undertakings given by both the parties by filing their separate affidavits dated 30th July, 2018 are also taken on record.

2 Family Court Appeal No.138 of 2010 and Family Court Appeal No.139 of 2010 are disposed of in terms of the consent terms. The consent terms shall form part and parcel of the decree.

3 In view of disposal of the Family Court Appeals, nothing survives in the pending Civil Application and the same is disposed of as infructuous. No order as to costs.

(B.P.COLABAWALLA, J.)

(K.K.TATED, J.)