

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION STAMP NO.333 OF 2018
(For leave to Appeal)**

Duryodhan Kondiba Solankar

..Applicant

Versus

**Gajanan Raghu @ Raghunath Solankar
and others**

..Respondents

Mr. Datta Mane, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mrs. S. S. Kaushik, APP for the Respondent - State.

**CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.
DATE : 24th JULY, 2018**

P.C.

1] The Applicant is aggrieved by not awarding death penalty to the accused.

2] The learned Judge in paragraph 60 has given sound and cogent reasons as to why the present case was not rarest of rare case to award death penalty. We find that no perversity is noticed. In so far as enhancement of compensation amount is concerned, the present Applicant can always intervene in the Appeal filed by the accused, wherein the issue can always be raised. The Application is therefore rejected with liberty as aforesaid.

Balaji
Govindrao
Panchal

[SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.]

[B. R. GAVAI, J.]

Digitally signed by
Balaji Govindrao
Panchal
Date: 2018.07.30
18:11:12 +0530

BGP.