

Sequeira

*IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION*

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 818 OF 2017

Mahendra Dagushet Pawar	...	Applicant
Vs		
The State of Maharashtra and another	...	Respondents

Mr. Shivshankar D. Patil, for the Applicant.
 Ms. S. D. Shinde, APP, for Respondent-State.
 Ms. Tanaya D. Goswami, for Respondent No.2.

***CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.***
Date : 7 December, 2018.

P.C. :

Contention is that cognizance is being taken after expiry of period of limitation.

2. We have perused FIR. We are not inclined to intervene in extra ordinary jurisdiction. Respondent No.2 Complainant, has on 6 December 2013 while lodging FIR given necessary facts and offence is under Section 354(A) and Section 166 of Indian Penal Code. Hence with liberty to the Applicant to take necessary steps before the trial Court and keeping all contentions of parties open, we dispose of present proceedings.

(Sarang V. Kotwal, J.)

(B.P.Dharmadhikari, J.)