

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3205 OF 2018

Rajkumar Gajendra Jaiswal ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Adv Meghna Gowalni for the Petitioner.

Mr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 05, 2018

P.C.:

Head learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. It is submitted that the petitioner happens to be owner of the disputed premises and is really in possession thereof and there are orders of civil court to support the same. It is contended that the report lodged on 17/5/2018 by respondent no. 3 is false and concocted.

3. During the arguments, we gather that the petitioner has already secured anticipatory bail in the matter.

4. If there are orders of civil court in favour of the petitioner, the same can be looked into by the I.O. At this stage, we find that

the defence of the petitioner cannot be accepted. Keeping all the contentions open, we dispose of the present matter.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)