

Dond

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 382 OF 2018**

Rajamani Srinivasan & Ors

**..Applicants**

**Vs**

The State of Maharashtra

**..Respondent**

**WITH**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 383 OF 2018**

Debdeep Sengupta & Ors

**..Applicants**

**Vs**

The State of Maharashtra

**..Respondent**

-----

Mr. Sanjay Parab, Senior Advocate a/w P. Memon and W. Pangarkar I/b  
MZM Legal for applicants.

Ms. A.S. Pai, PP for State.

Mr. Sanjeev Kadam a/w Nargolkar, Abad Ponda I/b Vikram Chavan  
for C.K. Legal for Interveners.

.

**CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.**

**DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2018.**

**P.C.:**

1] By the present applications, the applicants have prayed for  
issuance of directions to the Sessions Court to hear and dispose of the  
Anticipatory Bail Applications mentioned therein within 15 days from the  
date of Order passed by this Court.

2]           The record indicates that, the applicants are duly protected by interim relief granted by the Trial Court. As a matter of fact, the Sessions Courts in Mumbai are undoubtedly over-burdened with huge pressure of work thereon. The prayer sought by the applicants is nothing but to just pressurize the concern Court to hear the applications of the applicants out of turn and within stipulated period and nothing more than it. The applicants after being granted interim relief need to wait for their own turn to be heard on merits before the Sessions Court.

3]           Both applications are totally misconceived and the practice adopted by the applicants need to be depreciated.

In view thereof, both applications are dismissed in limine.

**(A.S.GADKARI, J.)**