

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.915 OF 2018**

Bharat Bijlee Ltd. and Anr.	... Petitioners
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra and Ors.	... Respondents

Mr. Noshirvan Vakil a/w Mr. Pradeep Bakhru i/by M/s. Wadia Ghandy & Co. for the Petitioners.
Mr. Ajay Khaire i/by The Law Point for the Respondent Nos.2 to 5.
Mrs. R.A. Salunkhe, AGP for Respondent No.1.
Ms. Shyamali Gadre i/by M/s. Little & Co. for Respondent Nos.6 to 9.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
P.N. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 16th FEBRUARY, 2018

PC.

1 Consent Terms have been tendered across the Bar and marked as 'C' for identification. The consent terms are duly signed by Mr. Durgesh N. Nagarkar, Constituted Attorney of the first petitioner on behalf of the petitioners. A copy of the Power of Attorney executed by the first petitioner appointing Mr. Durgesh Nagarkar as Constituted Attorney has been annexed in the consent terms. The consent terms have been signed on behalf of the sixth to ninth respondents by Mr. Satish Bagade, Regional Officer of MIDC. The consent terms have been signed on behalf of the second to fifth respondents on behalf of Shri

G.D. Rathod, Deputy Engineer. Consent terms also bear the signature of Advocate for the petitioners, Advocate for the sixth to ninth respondents and Advocate for second to fifth respondents. Considering the nature of the settlement reflected from the consent terms, the first respondent becomes a formal party. The first respondent – State of Maharashtra has not signed the consent terms. Hence, this order will not bind the State Government.

2 On the prayer made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, the learned counsel representing second to fifth respondents and the learned counsel representing sixth to ninth respondents, the Writ Petition is disposed of in terms of consent terms taken on record and marked 'C' for identification.

(PN. DESHMUKH, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)