

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8824 OF 2017

Mr.Imran Mohd. Yusuf Ansari. ... Petitioner.
V/s.
State of Maharashtra and others. ... Respondents.

Mr.M.A.Rahman i/b. Mr.Rajendra J. Rathod for the petitioner.
Mr.Manish M. Pabale, AGP for respondent No.1.
Mr.N.R.Bubna for respondent Nos.2 and 3..

CORAM : A.S.OKA AND RIYAZ I CHAGLA, JJ.

DATE : 21st March 2018.

P.C.:

From the averments made in the petition, it is apparent that before filing this petition seeking a writ of mandamus, the petitioner has not taken benefit of the Grievance Redressal Mechanism which is made available by the respondent No.2- Municipal Corporation and even otherwise, the petitioner has not called upon the Municipal Corporation to take action of demolition of the alleged illegal construction by making a representation.

2. It will be open for the petitioner to make a complaint in the aforesaid manner. If the petitioner finds that the complaint has not been acted upon within a reasonable time, it will always be open for the petitioner to file a fresh petition seeking necessary reliefs.

3. Subject to what is observed above, the petition is disposed of.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)