

Vidya Amin.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 377 OF 2018

Shrirang Dattatray Gund	...	Applicant
VS.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 464 OF 2018
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 377 OF 2018**

Rajendra Siddeshwar Pandhar	...	Intervener
in the matter between		
Shrirang Dattatray Gund	...	Applicant
VS.		
The State of Maharashtra	...	Respondent

Mr. Shantaram Tarale i/b. Geetanjali Shinde, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Aditya Bhagat i/b. M.V. Thorat, Advocate for the intervener.

Mr. A.R. Patil, APP for the respondent/State.

**CORAM: Mrs.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.
DATED: 28th November, 2018**

P.C. :

In this Revision Application, the applicant has challenged the order of the Sessions Court dated 22nd June, 2018 rejecting the Application for discharge.

2. I am informed by the learned APP that three witnesses are examined and today 4th witness is going to enter the box. Under

such circumstances, as the trial is proceeded and already the ocular evidence is on record, this Revision Application cannot be decided without taking into account the said evidence and if it is done, then it is bound to affect the merits of the trial. Hence, Criminal Revision Application is dismissed.

3. In view of dismissal of Criminal Revision Application, Criminal Application No. 464 of 2018 does not survive and the same is also accordingly disposed of.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)