

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 41 OF 2015

Sahayak... A Socio-Legal & Educational
Forum

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

Mr. Ashish Mehta a/w Mr. Darshan Faria for the Petitioner.

Ms. Madhuri Chavan i/by Mr. Vinod Joshi for Respondent No.4.

Mr. Pradeep M. Patil a/w Mr. Sachin D. Bagul for Respondent Nos.
6, 8 and 9.

Mr. J. S. Yadav i/by V. B. Tapkir for Respondent No.11.

Mr. J. P. Yagnik, APP for Respondent-State.

.....

**CORAM : S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

DATE : JANUARY 12, 2018.

P.C. :

After the petitioner's Advocate states that no relief is being sought in terms of prayer clause (a) to this Petition, as far as other prayers are concerned, particularly prayer clauses (b), (c) and (d), the Public Interest Litigation itself cannot be a Criminal Public Interest Litigation. All these issues concern the regional forensic laboratories, their establishment, functioning and the lack of facilities at the same. The Registry, therefore, to verify from the

assignment of judicial work and place this matter not as a Criminal Public Interest Litigation, but as a Civil Public Interest Litigation before the appropriate Bench.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S. C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)