

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2760 OF 2017

Mr. Ashish Prakash Bhansali and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Adv. Swati Jadhav and Adv Rajendra Anbhule for the applicant.

Adv. D'souza for respondent no. 1.

Mr. K.V. Saste, APP for respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 22, 2018

P.C.:

Not on board. Taken on production board.

2. Petitioner no.1 husband is present with his counsel.

Respondent no. 3 original complainant is present with her counsel.

Parties jointly request for quashing of the FIR in respect of the CR No. 131 of 2016 and R.C.C. 1640 of 2016 under section 498A, 406, 323, 504, 506 and 34 of I.P.C. as also under section 66(C) and 66(E) of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. Respondent no.3 has filed affidavit accordingly in court and he has accepted the correctness thereof.

4. Parties state that they are also securing divorce by mutual consent.

5. In view of these developments, we make the rule absolute and quash and set aside C.R. No. 131 of 2016 and R.C.C. No. 1640 of 2016.

6. Copy is expedited.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL,J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)