

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4375 OF 2001

Yeola Nagarparishad

...Petitioner

Vs.

Yijay Pundlik Zalte & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr.Sandip Patade I/b. Prafulla B. Shah for Petitioner.

Mr.Ankit Chaturvedi I/b. R.N. Gite for Respondent No.3.

Mr.Rupen Ramnath Shinde, Clerk, Construction Dept. of Petitioner present.

CORAM : S.C. GUPTE, J.

DATE : 14 JUNE 2018

P.C. :

Heard learned Counsel for the Petitioner.

2 The subject matter of controversy in the present petition concerns the employment of Respondent Nos.1 to 5. These Respondents were daily-rated employees of the Petitioner, who claim benefits of regularization and permanency. The complaint of Respondent Nos.1, 2, 4 and 5 was allowed by the trial court. The orders have been challenged by the Petitioner Municipal Corporation in the present petition.

3 Learned Counsel for the Petitioner states that during the pendency of the petition, the Respondents were regularised and made permanent by the Petitioner in accordance with Government Resolution of 1 April 2002. This statement is made on the basis of instructions given by Rupen Ramnath Shinde, authorised representative of Yeola Nagarparishad.

4 Since the Respondents have been regularised and made

permanent by the Petitioner, the petition does not survive. The petition is accordingly disposed of as infructuous.

(S.C. GUPTE, J.)