

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8348 OF 2004

Smt. Nikhade Bharati Gangadhar	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8349 OF 2004

Smt. Ahire Artidevi Ramdas	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8350 OF 2004

Smt. Bhosale Shaila Nivrutti	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8351 OF 2004

Smt. Veena Vishnu Patil	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8352 OF 2004

Smt. Nikam Sunita Avinash	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8353 OF 2004

Smt. Patil Kalpana Rangnath	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8354 OF 2004

Smt. Hinge Sangita Namdeo	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8355 OF 2004

Smt. Chavan Bharti Nathu	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8356 OF 2004

Smt. Shinde Malati Dattatraya	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8357 OF 2004

Smt. Nirmala Bhika Patil	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8358 OF 2004

Shri Sonawane Sahebrao Dhondiba	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8359 OF 2004

Shri Thombare Dattu Rambhaji	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8360 OF 2004

Shri Jagannath Jibhau Bhadane	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8361 OF 2004

Shri Kale Sunil Sakharam	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 8362 OF 2004

Shri Jadhav Nandkishor Gajmal	}	Petitioner
V/S		
The State Of Maharashtra	}	Respondent

Mr. Shaikh Nasir Masih with Mr. Vishal K. Jagwani and Mr. Moinuddin Chowdhari for the petitioners.

Mr. S. B. Kalel-AGP for State.

Mr. P. B. Shah for respondent nos. 4 and 5.

**CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

DATE :- AUGUST 16, 2018

P.C. :-

1. In the light of the earlier orders of this court so also the recommendations of the grievance committee, in the presence of respondent no. 4, these writ petitions are disposed of. In terms of our earlier orders and directions, the petitioners are entitled to

all consequential benefits and by treating them as Assistant Teachers. Let the consequential benefits, therefore, be made admissible, if not already made and the amounts released. The necessary be done within a period of three months from today.

2. Needless to clarify that if the benefits are already made admissible and amounts are released, then, our order and directions would stand satisfied. We do not wish to enter into that issue for it will be entirely for respondent nos. 2 and 3 to ensure that there is no surviving grievance of the teachers.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

Jayant
Vishwanath
Salunke

Digitally
signed by
Jayant
Vishwanath
Salunke
Date:
2018.08.23
11:34:14
+0530