

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2443 OF 2017

Koldare Gramvikas Sanstha. ..Petitioner.

Versus

Alandi Municipal Council & Others. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 7648 OF 2016

Rajesh Kantilal Bamb. ..Petitioner.

Versus

Alandi Municipal Council & Others. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 9519 OF 2016

Anand Shivajirao Ghundare Patil and Others. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Alandi Municipal Council & Others. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 7651 OF 2016

Ramkrushna Dagadu Chavan.. ..Petitioner.

Versus

Alandi Municipal Council & Others. ..Respondents.

Mr. Kartik Ghag I/b Purshottam Kamthe for the Petitioner.

Ms. Shakuntala Wadekar for Respondent No. 1 and 2.

Mr. R. M. Shinde, AGP for Respondent-State.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.

Date : **July 27, 2018.**

P. C. :

1. The portion of the land which is owned by the Petitioners is required for widening of Pradakshina Marg. It is admitted position that in revised development plan, the Pradikshina

Marg is shown as EP25 and the Petitioners' land is partly affected by the DP Road. The learned counsel for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, on instructions, makes a statement that due procedure as contemplated under the law would be followed while acquiring the lands belonging to the Petitioners. Statement is accepted.

2. In view of the above statement, in our opinion, the grievance raised in the writ petitions no more survives and therefore the same are disposed of.

[SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]