

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1317 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.5097 OF 2012

Sayed Imtiyaz Jaleel

.... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Others

.... Respondents

Mr. Kamlesh P. Mali for the Applicant.

Mr. A.B. Vagyani, GP, with Mr. S.B. Kalel, AGP,
for the Respondent-State.

Mr. S.R. Nargolkar for Respondent No.4.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.

DATE : AUGUST 06, 2018

P.C:

1. This civil application is thoroughly frivolous.
2. We do not see how a local MLA can espouse the cause of the public better than the Lawyers Forum or the PIL petitioner. In a pending PIL, the least that is expected from a people's representative is to trust this Court. It is this Court

which will ensure that if any relief is to be granted and its powers to render justice have to be utilised to subserve larger public interest and public good, it will definitely do it irrespective of whether the petitioner is present or otherwise. This Court does not require any applicant nor the PIL petitioner. The civil application is, therefore, dismissed but without any order as to costs.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

Suresh
Jagdish
Sajnowat

Digitally signed
by Suresh
Jagdish Sajnowat
Date: 2018.08.09
11:46:11 +0530