

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2481 OF 2018

Dnyaneshwar Laxman Shelke ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Manish Rai for the Petitioner.

Adv. Kamaluddin Shine for R. No. 2.

Mr. Deepak Thakre, PP a/w Smt. S.D. Shinde, APP for the respondent State.

API Mr. Rathod, Vashi Police Station present.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 12, 2018

P.C.:

Matter was heard in the first half and was adjourned to second half to enable the learned APP to assist the court by pointing out whether any other offences are registered at Vashi Police Station against the petitioner. Upon instructions, learned APP states that no such offences are pending.

2. We have perused the affidavit in reply tendered by respondent no. 2.

3. It appears that a conscious decision has been taken to maintain business relations. In this situation, subject to petitioner paying Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) as cost to respondent State within two weeks from today, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)