

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2441 OF 2018

Dipesh Bhauro Pardeshi ... Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ... Respondents

Mr.Yashdeep Deshmukh I/by Vaidehi Deshmukh for the
Petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Khanavkar for R. Nos. 2 to 9.

Mr K.V. Saste, APP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 28, 2018

P.C.:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the
State and learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 9.

2. Petitioner is original complainant and respondent no. 3 is his
wife. There is also decree of divorce. The consent terms have also
been recorded by this court on 20/11/2014 in Criminal Writ
Petition No. 480 of 2014.

3. It appears that because the consent terms were not adhered
to, contempt petition was taken out by the husband and in that
contempt petition he has given undertaking to get the present
prosecution quashed by filing appropriate proceedings.

4. In view of this admitted position on record, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) and accordingly quash S.C.C. No. 445 of 2014 in the court of J.M.F.C. Yeola.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)