

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6131 OF 2018

Sulabha Kailash Vaity and others	... Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others	... Respondents

.....

Mr. Kiran S. Bapat a/w Mr. Pralhad D. Paranjpe for Petitioners.
Mr. Sandeep L. Babar, AGP for Respondent No.1-State.
Mr. Venkatesh Dhond Senior Advocate a/w Mr. Nirav Parmar i/b
K. Ashar & Co. for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

.....

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR AND
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : 8th JUNE, 2018

P. C.:

. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that for the relief claimed in this Petition, the Petitioners will file either Review Petition before the Nagpur Bench of this Court or file SLP before the Supreme Court. He submits that as the Petitioners were not party to the proceedings before the Nagpur Bench and they were surprised when they got the impugned show cause notice, they had to approach this Court for redressal of their grievance.

2. Considering the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the Petitioners as also the Senior Counsel for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, we observe that the Respondent No.2 shall not take any coercive steps against the Petitioners on the basis of the impugned show cause notice for a period of three weeks. It is clarified that in the meanwhile, Respondent No.2 shall be free to pass appropriate order in regard to the show cause notice issued to the Petitioners after considering reply as may be filed, but shall not take any final action for a period of three weeks.

3. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the Petition is disposed of.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)