

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.794 OF 2018

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.88 OF 2017

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.197 OF 2017

Gautam Kisanrao Mudgal ... **Applicant**

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... **Respondent**

.....

Ms.Anita Chaware i/b. Mr.Neelesh V. Kalantri, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr.A.R.Kapadnis, APP for the Respondent/State.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 26th JULY 2018.

P.C. :

1 This is an application for restoration of Criminal Application No.88 of 2017, which came to be rejected for want of prosecution on 21st March 2018.

2 Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the applicant as well as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/State.

3 Because of absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, Criminal Application No.88 of 2017 came to be rejected for want of prosecution. It is seen from the pleadings in the instant application that the learned Advocate for the applicant inadvertently could not attend the Court on 21st March 2018 and, therefore, the application came to be rejected for want of prosecution. Considering the stated reason, the following Order :

ORDER

- (i) The application is allowed.
- (ii) The Order dated 21st March 2018 in Criminal Application No.88 of 2017 is recalled.
- (iii) The said Criminal Application is restored to the file.
- (iv) The instant application is accordingly disposed of.

(A.M.BADAR J.)

Raju
Dattatraya
Gaikwad

Digitally signed by
Raju Dattatraya
Gaikwad
Date: 2018.07.26
18:00:22 +0530