

Dond

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.252 OF 2018

Vimal Jadhavji Barot

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

Ms. Akash Shah i/b Hulalkar & Associates for applicant.

Ms. Rutuja Ambekar, APP for State.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 4th June 2018.

P.C.:

1] This is an application for modification of Order dated 10th August 2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Greater Mumbai in Bail Application No.1208 of 2016.

2] Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned APP. Perused the record.

3] By the said Order dated 10.8.2016, the applicant has been directed to be released on bail on his furnishing Personal Bond of Rs.5.00 lakhs with additional cash security of Rs.3.00 lakhs.

As the applicant could not comply with the aforesaid condition, he moved Misc. Application bearing No.472 of 2018 before the Trial Court for

modification of the Order dated 10.8.2016. The Trial Court by its Order dated 19th April 2018, was pleased to reject the application by a speaking Order.

4] The record indicates that, the Trial Court after taking into consideration various factors involved in the present crime was pleased to release the applicant on bail by imposing aforesaid condition of furnishing personal bond and cash security. One of the factors which weighed with the Trial Court while imposing the said condition upon the applicant is that, the applicant is involved in serious economic offence involving huge amount of Rs.27.00 Crores. It is to be noted here that, while rejecting the Misc.Application No.472 of 2018 for modification of said condition, the learned Additional Sessions Judge in its Order dated 19th April 2018 has observed that, considering serious nature of allegation against the applicant, the said condition is imposed upon the applicant.

5] After perusing the entire record and after taking into consideration the serious allegations against the applicant and the gravity of offence, I find that the condition imposed upon the applicant by the impugned Order dated 10th August 2016 is just and reasonable and needs no modification.

6] Application is accordingly rejected.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)