

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.2335 OF 2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.873 OF 2015

P. A. Inamdar and others	..Petitioners/Applicants
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and others	..Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.1106 OF 2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.876 OF 2015

P. A. Inamdar and others	..Petitioners/Applicants
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and others	..Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.3852 OF 2014

Jamia Islamia Ishaatul Uloom and another	..Petitioners
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and others	..Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.5450 OF 2014

Shaan Education Society's Guardian College of Dental Sciences and Research Centre	..Petitioner
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and others	..Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.6389 OF 2014

Maharashtra Medical Education And Research Centre and another	..Petitioners
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and others	..Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.6390 OF 2014

The Association of Muslim Minority's Medical Educational of Maharashtra	..Petitioner
Versus	
State of Maharashtra and others	..Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO.9865 OF 2018

Ms. Ramandeep Sandhu	..Petitioner
Versus	
Mah. University of Health Sciences Mhasrul and another	..Respondents

Mr. S. N. Biradar I/by Mr. V. B. Devkhile and Mr. A. S. Gaikwad,
Advocate for the Petitioners in WP Nos.2335 of 2014, 1106 of 2014,
3852 of 2014, 5450 of 2014, 6389 of 2014, 6390 of 2014 and CAW
Nos.873 of 2015 & 876 of 2015.

Mr. Nigel Qureishy I/by Mr. Dushyant H. Krishnan, Advocate for the
Petitioner in WP No.9865 of 2018.

Mr. V. M. Mali, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in WP Nos.2335 of
2014 & 1106 of 2014.

Mr. A. I. Patel, Addl. GP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in WP No.6389
of 2014.

Ms. Kavita N. Solunke, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in WP
No.3852 of 2014, 5450 of 2014, 6390 of 2014, 9865 of 2018.

Mr. S. S. Patwardhan, Advocate for Respondent No.3 in all the Petitions.

**CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &
M. S. KARNIK, JJ.
DATE : 12th OCTOBER, 2018**

P.C.

1] The facts and questions of law in the present Petitions are identical with the facts and questions which arose for consideration in Writ Petition No.7377 of 2014. This Court by reasoned judgment and order has allowed the said Petition. For the reasons stated while allowing the said Petition, the present Petitions also deserve to be allowed.

2] In view of disposal of Writ Petitions, Civil Applications do not survive and accordingly stand disposed of.

[M. S. KARNIK, J.]

[B. R. GAVAI, J.]