

Santosh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 933 OF 2018

Prakash Arjundas Rohra & Anr	...Applicants
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr. Abhishek Yende, for the Applicant.
Mr. Ameet Palkar, APP for the State/Respondent.

CORAM: A. S. GADKARI, J
DATED: 14th JUNE, 2018

PC:-

1. By an order dated 4th May, 2018, the applicant was granted interim relief.

Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned APP. Perused the record of investigation.

2. The record of investigation clearly indicates that the applicants have no role to play in the present crime and they have been impleaded in the present crime only for the reason that the applicant no.1 is the son of accused no.2 Smt. Kaushabai A. Rohra and the applicant no.2 is the employee of first informant Anil Kamra.

As noted earlier the record of investigation is clear to the extent that the applicants have no role to play in the present crime. In view thereof, the custodial interrogation of the applicants in the present crime does not arise and they are entitled to be protected by pre-arrest bail.

3. In view of the above, interim relief granted by Order dated 4th May, 2018 is hereby confirmed. However, the condition to attend the Investigating Officer of the concerned police station is waived.

4. Application is allowed in aforesaid terms.

[A. S. GADKARI, J.]