

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 20 OF 2017
IN
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 27 OF 2012
(In Chambers)**

Smt. Vijaya Palniswami Shetty	}	Petitioner
versus		
Municipal Corporation of Greater	}	
Mumbai	}	Respondents

Mr. M. A. Adenwala for the petitioner.

Ms. M. R. Bhair for the Respondent.

CORAM :- S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATED :- JANUARY 31, 2018

P.C. :-

Having heard the learned advocate appearing for the review petitioner and perusing this review petition, I find no reason to exercise the review jurisdiction. The grievance projected in this review petition does not indicate that the parameters of review jurisdiction as conferred by section 114 read with Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 are satisfied. The review petition is entirely misconceived and it is dismissed. There would be no order as to costs.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)