

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.4980 OF 2018

Vikrant Karnik

..Petitioner

Versus

Shri. Devendra Phadanvis and others

..Respondents

Mr. Sagar A. Joshi, Advocate for the Petitioner.

**Ms. Shruti D. Vyas, 'B' Panel Advocate for the Respondents -
State.**

CORAM : B. R. GAVAI &

M. S. KARNIK, JJ.

DATE : 20th AUGUST, 2018

P.C.

1] The Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 have illegally and intentionally permitted the Maharashtra Knowledge Corporation Ltd. (MKCL) to continue its illegal activities.

2] To a specific query, as to what is the locus of the present Petitioner, the learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petition is filed to espouse the cause of number of students.

3] No doubt that the law of locus has been watered down by the Apex Court and strict locus-standi is not required for

entertaining a Petition. However, only when the persons on account of various disadvantages are not able to approach directly, the Petition at the instance of third party would be tenable.

4] It is not as if that the students, whose cause the Petitioner seeks to espouse are not in a position to approach this Court for redressal of their grievance. In that view of the matter, we are not inclined to entertain the present Petition at the behest of the present Petitioner. The Petition is therefore rejected.

Balaji
Govindrao
Panchal

Digitally signed
by Balaji
Govindrao
Panchal
Date: 2018.08.23
14:52:52 +0530

[M. S. KARNIK, J.]

[B. R. GAVAI, J.]