

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 864 OF 2018

Abhiman Arjun Khilare .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra .Respondent

Mr. G. S. Jadhav, Advocate, for the Applicant

Mr. S. R. Agarkar, APP, for the Respondent – State

CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.

DATE : 05.07.2018

P.C.

. After arguing at length, learned counsel for the Applicant upon instructions seeks liberty to withdraw the Application. Liberty as prayed for is granted in the interest of justice.

2. The Application stands dismissed as withdrawn accordingly.

3. Learned counsel for the Applicant vehemently submits that the Applicant is not being produced before the Court on each and every scheduled date and therefore, although charge is framed on 28.02.2018, there is no progress. In view of this, the learned Sessions

Judge, Malshiras, District - Solapur seized with S. C. No. 14 of 2017 shall not grant any unwarranted adjournments to the prosecution on technical grounds. The learned Sessions Judge shall see that the accused is produced before the Court on each and every scheduled date, in the absence of which the learned Sessions Judge may take coercive action. The learned Sessions Judge shall expedite the trial as far as possible.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.)