

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.505 OF 2018

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.309 OF 2017

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.204 OF 2016

Rajkumar @ Pappu Ramfer Harijan ... **Applicant**

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... **Respondent**

.....

Mr.Atul P. Kakade with Mr.Anand Palande, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr.Prashant Jadhav, APP for the Respondent/State.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 5th APRIL 2018.

P.C. :

1 Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the applicant/accused, who submitted that he is restricting the application to the prayer clause 13(i) and is not insisting for deletion of words 'local sureties'.

2 The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the application.

3 Considering the fact that the applicant is in search of surety, the application is granted in terms of prayer clause 13(i).

(A.M.BADAR J.)