

vks

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 156 OF 2018
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.214 OF 2018.
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.421 OF 2018**

Sushil Chandramohan Bhandari	...	Appellant
V/s.		
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	...	Respondent

**ALONGWITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 157 OF 2018
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.215 OF 2018
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.426 OF 2018**

Chetan Jaswantraj Bhandari	...	Appellant
V/s.		
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	...	Respondent

**ALONGWITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 158 OF 2018
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.216 OF 2018.
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.425 OF 2018.**

Ramsajivan @ Sajjan Arjundas Tiwari Through COA Shri. Shivkumar Prakash Tiwari	...	Appellant
V/s.		
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai	...	Respondent

Mr. Pradeep J. Thorat, for the appellants.
Ms. Sharmial Deshmukh, for applicant in Application
Nos.421 of 2018, 425 of 2018 and 426 of 2018.
Mrs. Madhuri More, for respondent corporation.

CORAM : DR.SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.

DATE : 8st OCTOBER, 2018.

P.C. :

- 1] Heard learned counsel for the appellants and respondents.
- 2] These appeals are preferred challenging the refusal of ad-interim relief, as passed by the City Civil Court. It is submitted that the Notices of Motion are yet pending before the trial Court. Moreover, the landlord owner has already filed intervention applications by way of Chamber Summons. Those Chamber Summons are also pending before the trial Court. Hence it would be proper to direct the trial Court to decide those Notices of Motion and the Chamber Summons at the earliest.
- 3] All the three Appeals accordingly stand disposed off.
- 4] Till disposal of Notices of Motion, the order ad-interim relief granted by this Court to continue.
- 5] In view of disposal of appeals, pending Civil Applications therein become infructuous and they are disposed off accordingly.

[DR.SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.]