

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LOK ADALAT
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
First Appeal NO. 863 OF 2013

State Of Maharashtra And Ors. ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Rakhmaji Babu Yede ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 864 OF 2013

State Of Maharashtra And Ors. ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Narayan Nana Yede ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 333 OF 2006

Krishna Govind Wayal D/h Parvati
Krishna Wayal And Ors. ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
The Land Acquisition Officer No.03,
Pune And Ors. ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 334 OF 2006

Ankush Dattu Gawade And Ors. ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
The Land Acquisition Officer No.03,
Pune And Ors. ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 1025 OF 2006

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Suman Mahadeo Yadav And Ors. ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 239 OF 2006

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Pandurang Ganpat Waiwhare
And Anr. ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 2357 OF 2008

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Rajkumar Nivrutti Shinde And Ors. ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 2358 OF 2008

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Ramchandra Sadashiv Shinde And Ors. ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 240 OF 2006

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Sambha Namdeo Kale ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 898 OF 2016

Mr. Janardan Narayan Bhosale And Ors. ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Mr. Ramesh Dhondiram
Ghorpade-Patil And Ors. ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 842 OF 2002

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)
Versus
Nivrutti Rama Kalange ...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 843 OF 2002

The State Of Maharashtra	...Petitioner(s)
<i>Versus</i>	
Tukaram Dada Kale	...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 846 OF 2002

The State Of Maharashtra	...Petitioner(s)
<i>Versus</i>	
Dnyandeo Maruti Pawar	...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 848 OF 2002

The State Of Maharashtra	...Petitioner(s)
<i>Versus</i>	
Babu Dada Kale	...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 849 OF 2002

The State Of Maharashtra	...Petitioner(s)
<i>Versus</i>	
Gurudas Nivrutti Kale	...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 853 OF 2002

The State Of Maharashtra	...Petitioner(s)
<i>Versus</i>	
Smt. Bhagubai Shankar Kale	...Respondent(s)

WITH
First Appeal NO. 857 OF 2002

The State Of Maharashtra	...Petitioner(s)
<i>Versus</i>	
Bala Dada Kale	...Respondent(s)

WITH**First Appeal NO. 858 OF 2002**

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Namdeo Krishna Jadhav ...Respondent(s)

WITH**First Appeal NO. 860 OF 2002**

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Tanaji Jagannath Suryawanshi ...Respondent(s)

WITH**First Appeal NO. 2880 OF 2006**

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Bhikudas Shankar Jadhav ...Respondent(s)

WITH**First Appeal NO. 250 OF 2003**

The State Of Maharashtra ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Damu Ganpat Wadhane ...Respondent(s)

Appearance in all matters

Mr. Y.Y. Dabke, AGP for the Appellant(s) – State.

None for the Appellant (s) in FA 898 of 2016.

Mr. Gaurav Potnis i/b Ms Pallavi Potnis for the Respondent in all the matters and for the Appellant (s) in FA No. 334 of 2006.

CORAM :

M. S. SONAK, J.
(HEAD OF THE PANEL)

: S.M. KOCHEY, REGISTRAR
(FINANCE & BUDGET) &
I/c. REGISTRAR (PROTOCOL)

: M.S. LONE, MEMBER SECRETARY
STATE COURT MANAGEMENT
SYSTEM COMMITTEE

DATE : 22nd APRIL, 2018.

P.C. :-

1] These matters are not on board. However, at the request of learned counsel for the parties, they are taken on board.

2] Heard learned counsel for the parties in all the aforesaid matters.

3] Learned counsel for the parties hand in consent terms, in each case, which are duly signed by the parties and their advocates. The consent terms, in each case, are taken on record and marked as “X” for purposes of identification.

4] The appeals are disposed of in terms of the consent terms. The appellant shall be entitled to refund of court fees in terms of the Rules as permissible.

5] Although, in the consent terms, it is stated that these appeals are to be listed in the Next Lok Adalat for purpose of reporting compliance, in our opinion, this is not necessary. In case, there is any difficulty with regard to compliance, the parties are at

liberty to mention the matters or take out such proceedings as are permissible under the law.

6] All the aforesaid appeals stand disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

7] The pending civil applications, if any, do not survive and the same are also disposed of.

**(M.S. SONAK,J.)
HEAD OF THE PANEL**

**(S.M. KOCHEY)
MEMBER**

**(M.S. LONE)
MEMBER**