

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.363 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.210 OF 2016**

Mr. Satish Kumar Varma

... Applicant

V/s.

Ruchit Machines & Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Phiroze Merchant i/by M/s. Apex Law Partner for the Applicant.

Mr. A.R. Kapadnis, APP for the Respondent State.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 19th MARCH, 2018

P.C.:

1. This is an application for substituted service on the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 after admission of the appeal.
2. The learned counsel for the applicant submitted that, despite putting in best efforts, Respondent Nos.1 and 2 could not be served till today and therefore, the present application for substituted service is filed.
3. For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and the applicant is permitted to serve Respondent Nos.1 and 2 by way of newspaper publication in two daily newspapers namely "Navshakti" in Marathi and "Free Press General" in English published from Mumbai.
4. Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)