

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION STAMP NO. 6364 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 10600 OF 2014

Sou. Sharda Tukaram Kadam and 18 ors.Petitioners
(Org. petitioner Nos.1 to 5
and 11 to 24 in writ petition)

versus

The Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division,
Pune and 16 ors.

.....Respondents
(org. respondents in writ petition)

and

Shri Sahadu Piraji Kadam and 4 ors.

.....Respondents
(org. petitioners Nos.6 to 10 in
writ petition)

Mr. Sandeep M. Phatak, advocate for the petitioners.

Mrs. M. P. Thakur, AGP for the State.

Mr. Manoj Patil i/b. Mr. Y. B. Lengare, advocate for the respondent Nos.8
to 10 and 15 to 17.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
SMT.ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 11th JUNE, 2018.

P. C. :

Heard Mr. Phatak, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr.
Patil, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.8 to 10 and 15 to 17 and
Mrs. Thakur, learned AGP for the State.

2. We have also gone through the order dated 9th January, 2018
passed in the above writ petition. We do not find any error apparent on

the face of record and, therefore, we do not see any reason to interfere with the impugned order. The review petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

[SMT.ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]