IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 6487 OF 2017

Shri Bhausaheb Jagnnath Shinde. ... Petitioner.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents.

Smt. Pranita P. Hingmire, advocate for petitioner.

Smt. Rupali Shinde, AGP for State.

CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, JJ.

DATE : MARCH 5, 2018.

P. C. :

1 Learned Counsel for the Petitioner at the outset makes a statement that the Petitioner is restricting this petition to the relief claimed in prayer clause (c) only. The statement is accepted.

2 Prayer clause (c) reads as follows :

> "c. The Respondent No. 2 directed to decide the representation dated 21/04/2016 in view of the order passed by this Hon'ble Court in WP 5258 of 2012."

3 The learned AGP has no objection if respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the petitioner's representation dated 21/4/2016.

4 In the above circumstances, we deem it convenient to dispose off this petition by directing respondent No. 2 Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Nashik, Dist. Nashik to decide the Petitioner's representation dated 21/4/2016, copy of which is at page 30, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of 8 weeks from date of receipt of a copy of this order. Ordered accordingly.

5 It is made clear that we have not gone into the merits of the respective claims and respondent No. 2 shall decide the said representation independently on its own merits and in accordance with law.

6 In order to enable respondent No. 2 to comply with this order, the Petitioner shall remain present before him alongwith copy of this order as well as copy of the said representation on 19th March, 2018.

7 The Writ Petition stands disposed of.

[SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.] **[RANJIT MORE, J.]**