

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 5354 OF 2018

Anil Amrut Bharsat and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Mahesh Jagannath Chote and Another ..Respondents.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 5355 OF 2018

Koli Dipali Jagannath and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Mahesh Jagannath Chote and Another ..Respondents.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 5384 OF 2018

Gavandha P. Dharma and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Mahesh Jagannath Chote and Another ..Respondents.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 5431 OF 2018

Chaware Ramesh Ananta and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Mahesh Jagannath Chote and Another ..Respondents.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 5373 OF 2018

Wabale S. Dhawala and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Mahesh Jagannath Chote and Another ..Respondents.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 5361 OF 2018

Mulla Sabiba Iqbal and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Mahesh Jagannath Chote and Another ..Respondents.

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 5356 OF 2018

Patil Abhijit Paygonda and Another. ..Petitioners.

Versus

Mahesh Jagannath Chote and Another ..Respondents.

Mr. Chetan Patil i/b Mr. S. K. Hande for the Petitioner.
Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for the Respondent-State.

Coram : Ranjit More &
Smt. S. S. Jadhav, JJ.
Date : **March 26, 2018.**

P. C. :

1. At the outset, Mr. Kalel, learned AGP having taken instructions from his client makes a statement that the order in respect of which breach is alleged to have been committed, namely the order dated 22nd / 23rd January 2017, is complied with inasmuch as the Petitioner's proposal is rejected and therefore remedy of the Petitioner is to challenge the said order by filing separate writ petition. This statement is not disputed by Mr. Patil, learned Counsel for the Petitioner.

2. Evidently, by the order dated 22nd / 23rd January 2017, the Education Officer was directed to dispose of the said proposal of the Petitioners within the timeframe of four weeks. This order is complied with, though belatedly. Learned AGP however assures on behalf of the Respondent that in future utmost care would be taken and orders of this Court would be complied with within time. In view of the assurance given, we do not propose to proceed further in these contempt petitions. Contempt petitions are, therefore, dismissed. Needles to state that the Petitioners are at liberty to challenge the orders rejecting their proposals.

[Smt. S. S. JADHAV, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]