

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SECOND APPEAL No. 109 OF 1992
WITH
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 315 OF 2010 IN S.A. No. 109 OF 1992
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 316 OF 2010 IN S.A. No. 109 OF 1992
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 317 OF 2010 IN S.A. No. 109 OF 1992
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 319 OF 2010 IN S.A. No. 109 OF 1992
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 1617 OF 2017 IN S.A. No. 109 OF 1992

Vinodkumar Bhogilal Patni (Decd)
through LRs Sudesh V. Patni & Ors.

... Appellants

Vs.

Amolakchand Narayandas Mandlecha (Decd)
through LRs & Ors.

... Respondents

Ms. Lalita Panchakshari, Advocate for the appellants.

CORAM: MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.
DATE: 18th April, 2018.

P.C.:

Upon mentioning, taken on production board.

2. Liberty to amend cause title as name of sister is to be mentioned as "Nayana Dharendra Shah".

3. The learned counsel for the appellants produced a letter dated 16th March, 2018 written to her by the appellant Sudesh Vinodkumar

Patni and Smt. Urmila Ashokkumar Patni. The learned counsel submitted that the Sudesh Vinodkumar Patni is a Power of Attorney Holder of his mother-Snehalata Vinodkumar Patni and sister-Nayana Dhirendra Shah, who are also appellants. The learned counsel submitted that the appellants are landlords and they have filed this Appeal against the tenants/respondents, however, they have settled the matter out of the Court. Hence, as per the instructions and the letter dated 16th March, 2018, the learned counsel wants to withdraw this Appeal.

4. The learned counsel for the appellants is allowed to withdraw the Second Appeal.

5. Second Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

6. In view of dismissal of Second Appeal, Civil Applications do not survive and the same are accordingly disposed of.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)