

*Nalawade*FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 88 OF 2018

The Asstt. Commissioner

vs.

The Director/Manager Mobile Telecommunication Ltd. and
anr.

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
--	---------------------------

Mr. Rupesh Lanjekar i/by M.L. Patil for the applicant.

Mr. Sushil Upadhyay i/by A.M. Sarogi for Respondent No.1.

Mr. A.R. Kapadnis, APP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : A.S. GADKARI, J.

DATE : 03rd May, 2018

P.C.

1. This is an application for condonation of delay of 321 days in preferring the application or leave to file appeal.

2. Mr. Upadhyay, the learned counsel for the respondent No.1 vehemently opposed the application and submitted that no sufficient reasons are given by the applicant for condoning the said delay. He submitted that if this Court is inclined to condone the delay, the applicant may be saddled with cost.

3. For the reasons stated in the application and in the interest of justice delay is condoned subject to payment of

cost of Rs.20,000/- to be paid to the High Court Legal Services Committee within a period of four weeks from today.

It is made clear that payment of cost shall be the condition precedent for condonation of delay.

In view of the above, the delay is condoned.

Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) subject to aforestated condition of payment of cost.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)