

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.12660 OF 2016

Meghasham Shripad Bhangle

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Anr.

.... Respondents

Mr. Mahesh V. Rawool for the Petitioner.

Mr. A.B. Vagyani, GP, with Mr. B.V. Samant, AGP,
for the Respondent-State.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 24, 2018

P.C:

1. After having heard the petitioner's Advocate, we do not think that at the instance of the present petitioner we should examine the challenge to the circular, copy of which is annexed as Exhibit "C", page 40 of the paper-book.

2. Admittedly, the petitioner suffered some inconvenience for two months. Thereafter, the order in his favour was given effect. If it was given effect to but after two

months, then we do not see any surviving grievance. At the behest of another aggrieved person and in an appropriate case we may examine the challenge and the larger question. By keeping that open for being raised in an appropriate case, we dispose of this petition.

(SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)