

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 601 OF 2015**

Matyagayandra Shivbahadur Sinigh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Kedar Patil for the Petitioner.

Ms. Aanal Desai for respondent no. 2.

Mr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the respondent State.

**CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKAKRI &  
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

**DATE : DECEMBER 12, 2018**

**P.C.:**

Parties jointly request for quashing of the FIR dated 26/8/2014. Respondent no.2 complainant is present with his advocate. Petitioner is present with his advocate.

2. Respondent no.2 has tendered affidavit explaining that because of some dispute and misunderstanding the police complaint was required to be filed.

3. During the hearing, we find that the police complaint was filed with a view to pressurize present petitioner and affidavit shows that the state machinery including the police has been

unnecessarily used. Thus loss has been caused to the public revenue.

4. Both the parties state that they will pay reasonable amount to State Government as compensation.

5. Subject to petitioner and respondent no.2 each paying the amount of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty thousand only) to respondent State within four weeks from today, FIR mentioned supra shall stand quashed and set aside.

6. Upon the costs being so paid by the parties, rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

**(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)      (B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)**